Project name:	Pernard Merca	n House										
I Iniana project identifica	12056	n nouse										
Unique project identifier:												
Total est cost (exc risk)	£725505											
N			ı	Minor impact	Corporate Risk M Serious impact	<i>latrix score tab</i> Major impact	Extreme impact					
M's overall risk rating	Medium	Liberto										
vg risk pre-mitigation	5.5	Likely		4	8	16	32					
vg risk post-mitigation	3.3	Possible		3	6	12	24					
ed risks (open)	0	Unlikely		2	4	8	16					
mber risks (open)	11	Rare		1	2	4	8					
reen risks (open)	10											
osted risks identified (All)	Γ	£0.00	£0.00 0% Costed risk as % of total estimated cost of project									
osted risk pre-mitigation (o	pen)	£0.00	0%	" "								
osted risk post-mitigation (	open)	£0.00	0%	" "								
osted Risk Provision reque	sted	£0.00	0%	CRP as % of total estimated cost of project								
	_	Number of Open	Avg	Costed impact	Red	Amber	Green					
(1) Compliance/Re	aulatory	Risks 0	Score 0.0	£0.00	0	0	0					
(2) Financial	guiatory	9	5.8	£0.00	0	5	4					
(3) Reputation		6	5.7	£0.00	0	3	3					
(4) Contractual/Pa	rtnership	1	4.0	£0.00	0	0	1					
(5) H&S/Wellbeing		0	0.0	£0.00	0	0	0					
(6) Safeguarding		0	0.0	£0.00	0	0	0					
(7) Innovation		0	0.0	£0.00	0	0	0					
(8) Technology		0	0.0	£0.00	0	0	0					
(9) Environmental		2	4.0	£0.00	0	1	1					
(10) Physical		3	6.0	£0.00	0	2	1					
				Extreme	Major	Serious	Minor					
Inches (compa)		<u>^</u>					0					
Issues (open) 0 All Issues 0		•	Issues Issues	0	0	0	0					

City of London: Projects Procedure Corporate Risks Register																						
	_	. • I M	D				1	PM's overall	AA - altimo		CRP requested		٦	Average					1	Open Risks		1
Project Name:		oject Name:	Bernard Morgan House			risk rating:		Medium		this gateway	£ -	unmitigated risk		C					•	21		
Ur	ique pro	ect identifier:	12056				Iotal	estimated cost (exc risk):	£	725,505	Total CRP used to date	£ -	Average	e mitigated risk score			3.3			Closed Risks	0	
	ral risk class		December of the Birt	Pill I and Particular	19 - 19		D'. L			Confidence to the	Mitigation actions	19.19.				CDD		Ownership		D'.	D. I.	(C
Risk D	Gateway	Category	Description of the Risk	Risk Impact Description	Likelihood Classificatio	Impact Classificatio		mitigation (£)	Costed Risk Provision requested Y/N	estimation	Mitigating actions	cost (£) Classifica	ti Classificat	impact post-	Mitiga	CRP used to date		Date raised	Named Departmenta	l (Named	Date Closed OR/	Comment(s)
					n pre- mitigation	n pre- mitigation			1/N			on post- mitigatior	ion post- n mitigation	mitigation (£)	tion risk score				Risk Manager/ Coordinator	Officer or External Party)		
21	3	(3) Reputation	Not meeting the developers timeframes	Section 278 agreement specifies 12 months within which the City must complete works	Unlikely	Serious	4	£0.00			Ensure design team is aware of deadlines	£0.00 Rare	Minor	£0.00	1	£0.00		01/03/19	Design Team	Christian O'Keefe		Key dates and overall programme agreed with the developer
22	3	(9) Environmental	Coordination issues with nearby developments	Delays in completion of works	Unlikely	Minor	2	£0.00			Coordinate with Traffic Management	£0.00 Rare	Minor	£0.00	1	£0.00		01/03/19	Highways	Ben Manku		Communicating across department to ensure projects are linked
3	3	(4) Contractual/Part nership	Project design team not attending meetings, causing delays	Delays in completion of detailed designs	Unlikely	Serious	4	£0.00			Schedule DTM's ahead of programme	£0.00 Rare	Serious	£0.00	2	£0.00		01/03/19	Project Team	Sarah Jane Enson		Scheduled meetings in diary
24	3	(2) Financial	Principle Designer process takes too long	Delays in ability to complete design package	Unlikely	Serious	4	£0.00			Begin Principal Designer appointment procedure early	£0.00 Rare	Serious	£0.00	2	£0.00		01/03/19	Project Team	Sarah Jane Enson		
25	3	(2) Financial	Lack of radar surveys interferes with design progress	Delays in completion of detailed designs	Possible	Serious	6	20.00			Develop designs as far as possible to allow quick turnaround of utilities designs	£0.00 Unlikely	Minor	£0.00	2	£0.00		01/03/19	Design Team	Christian O'Keefe		Communicated issue to developer. Developing designs as far as possible to reduce delays to final detailed designs
₹6	3	(3) Reputation	Resident/stakeholder concern that scopre does not include Fortune Street Park	Complaints to the City about the scope of the project	Likely	Serious	8	£0.00			Liaising with developer regarding possible funding/donation to park to mitigate increased footfall	£0.00 Likely	Serious	£0.00	8	£0.00		01/03/19	Project Team	Sarah Jane Enson		Scope is outside of the project and S106 agreement.
27	3	(3) Reputation	Scope of design does not respond to all feedback raised in consultations	Possible complaints to the City about the scope of the project	Likely	Serious	8	£0.00			FSP is not within remit of this project as it lies within the border of Islington	£0.00 Possible	Serious	£0.00	6	£0.00		01/03/19	Project Team	Sarah Jane Enson		Communicating with developer, Ward Members and local stakeholders to ensure designs address as many issues as possible
88	3	(10) Physical	Beech St tunnel closure impacting projects in the area	Possible delays to completion of works	Possible	Serious	6	£0.00			Coordinate with Traffic Management to ensure projects are clearly communicated	£0.00 Unlikely	Serious	£0.00	4	£0.00		01/03/19	Transportation	Kristian Turner		Regularly communicating with Beech St team, Transportation and Highways to ensure joined- up approach
29	3	(2) Financial	Delay in obtaining Committee Approval	Delays in completing \$278 agreement and	Unlikely	Serious	4	£0.00			Ensure scheme represents earlier gateway reports	£0.00 Rare	Serious	£0.00	2	£0.00		01/03/19	Project Team	Sarah Jane Enson		Submitting Committee Report asap to reduce delays
?10	3	(2) Financial	Developer doesn't agree to the project cost of the design	Reopening of negotiations with the developer, possible delay in complting \$278 agreement	Possible	Serious	6	£0.00			Offer design options and give developer early sight of costs for the scheme. After design specification if required.	£0.00 Unlikely	Serious	£0.00	4	£0.00		01/03/19	Project Team/ Developer	Sarah Jane Enson / Taylor Wimpy		Developer aware of project cost range and cost estimates
211	3	(2) Financial	Finalising detailed design takes too long	Delays in moving to next gateway	Unlikely	Serious	4	£0.00			Ensure the designer has all information required	£0.00 Rare	Serious	£0.00	2	£0.00		10/12/19	Project Team/ Developer	Sarah Jane Enson / Taylor Wimpy		Concept design agreed, developer keen to complete scheme quickly
212	4	(2) Financial	s.278 not paid in time	Delays in ability to commence works	Possible	Major	12	£0.00			Regular communication with developers Letter can be drafted earlier to release the money for the material order	£0.00 Rare	Major	£0.00	4	£0.00		10/01/20	Developer	Taylor Wimpy		
213	4	(2) Financial	Delay in approving construction package	Delays in ability to commence works	Possible	Serious	6	£0.00			Ensure programme is communicated with all	£0.00 Unlikely	Serious	£0.00	4	£0.00		10/01/20	Design Team	Christian O'Keefe		
214	4	(2) Financial	Utility diversions necessary	increase in works cost and timeline	Possible	Serious	6	£0.00			involved Radar surveys to be undertaken asap	£0.00 Unlikely	Serious	£0.00	4	£0.00		10/01/20	Highways	Ben Manku		Amend desgins to avoid utilities if necessary
215	4	(10) Physical	Materials not ordered in time	Delays in ability to commence works	Unlikely	Major	8	£0.00			Developers can sign a letter to agree to release the money earlier so materials can be ordered prior to the signed 278 agreement	£0.00 Rare	Major	£0.00	4	£0.00		24/01/20	Design Team	Christian O'Keefe		Highways aware of all programme dates
216	4	(3) Reputation	Neigbouring stakeholders not consulted on proposals	Possible complaints to the City	Unlikely	Serious	4	£0.00			Engage with all stakeholders	£0.00 Rare	Serious	£0.00	2	£0.00		24/01/20	Project Team	Sarah Jane Enson		Ongoing engagement required
217	5	(9) Environmental	Delays to works due to bad weather	Increase in works timeline	Possible	Serious	6	£0.00			Build time contigency into programme if possible	£0.00 Possible	Serious	£0.00	6	£0.00		24/01/20	Highways/ Riney	ys Ben Manku		
218	5	(3) Reputation	Communication with occupiers unclear	Possible complaints to the City	Unlikely	Serious	4	£0.00			Give all surrouding occupiers notification of works	£0.00 Rare	Serious	£0.00	2	£0.00		24/01/20	Project Team	Sarah Jane Enson		Ongoing engagement required
219	5	(3) Reputation	Occupier complaints about noisy works	Possible increase in works timeline to enable working to take place at reduced times	Possible	Serious	6	£0.00			Minimise noisy working	£0.00 Possible	Serious	£0.00	6	£0.00		24/01/20	Project Team/ Riney's	Sarah Jane Enson/ Ben Manku		Advance notice to occupiers
20	5	(10) Physical	Site access during works	NA - works will be staggered to ensure access at all times	Unlikely	Serious	4	£0.00			Discussion with adjacent occupiers to understand their need for delivery / Agree access plan	£0.00 Rare	Serious	£0.00	2	£0.00		24/01/20	Highways/ Developer	Ben Manku		3 entrances to building should mitigate
21	5	(2) Financial	Project goes over budget	Cost will be covered by Section 278 works payment excess	Unlikely	Serious	4	£0.00			Ensure contingency element provided in budget	£0.00 Rare	Serious	£0.00	2	£0.00		24/01/20	Project Team / Highways	Sarah Jane Enson / Ben Manku		CoL not obliged to spend more than budget, adequate contingency will be put in place, identify optional elements to reduce costs